## CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

ROBERT D. JOFFE RONALD S. ROLFE PAUL C. SAUNDERS DOUGLAS D. BROADWATER ALAN C. STEPHENSON MAX R. SHULMAN STUART W. GOLD JOHN E. BEERBOWER EVAN R. CHESLER RICHARD LEVIN KRIS F. HEINZELMAN B. ROBBINS KIESSLING PHILIP A. GELSTON RORY O. MILLSON FRANCIB P. BARRON RICHARD W. CLARY JAMES D. COOPER STEPHEN L. GORDON DANIEL L. MOSLEY PETER S. WILSON JAMES C. VARDELL, M

ROBERT H. BARON STEPHEN S. MADSEN C. ALLEN PARKER MARC S. ROSENBERG TIMOTHY G. MASSAD DAVID MERCADO ROWAN D. WILSON JOHN T. GAFFNEY SANDRA C. GOLDSTEIN PAUL MICHALSKI THOMAS G. RAFFERTY MICHAEL S. GOLDMAN RICHARD HALL ELIZABETH L. GRAYER JULIE A. NORTH ANDREW W. NEEDHAM STEPHEN L. BURNS KATHERINE B. FORREST DANIEL BLIFKIN JEFFREY A. SMITH ROBERT I. TOWNSEND, I WILLIAM J. WHELAN, M

Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019-7475

TELEPHONE: (212) 474-1000 FACSIMILE: (212) 474-3700

CITYPOINT
ONE ROPEMAKER STREET
LONDON EC2Y 9HR
TELEPHONE: 44-20-7453-1000
FACSIMILE: 44-20-7860-1150

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 474-1714

SCOTT A. BARSHAY PHILIP J. BOECKMAN ROGER G. BROOKS WILLIAM V. FOGG FAIZA J. SAFED RICHARD J. STARK THOMAS E. DUNN JULIE SPELLMAN SWEET RONALD CAMI SARKIS JEBEJIAN JAMES C. WOOLERY DAVID R. MARRIOTT MICHAEL A. PASKIN ANDREW J. PITTS MICHAEL T. REYNOLDS ANTONY L. RYAN GEORGE E. ZOBITZ DARIN P. MCATEE GARY A. BORNSTEIN TIMOTHY G. CAMERON

RACHEL G. SKAISTIS
PAUL H. ZUMBRO
JOEL F. HEROLD
ERIC W. HILFERS
GEORGE F. SCHOEN
ERIK R. TAVZEL
CRAIG F. ARCELIA
TEENA-ANN V. SANKOORIKAL
ANDREW R. THOMPSON
DAMIEN R. ZOUBEK
LAUREN ANGELILLI
TATIANA LAPUSHCHIK
ERIC L. SCHIELE

SPECIAL COUNSEL
SAMUEL C. BUTLER
GEORGE J. GILLESPIE, E
THOMAS D. BARR

OF COUNSEL
CHRIBTINE BESHAR

MEMO ENDORSED

USDC SDNY
DAY GREENWALD

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
OOC #:
DATE FILED:

January 3, 2008

Storm LLC v. Telenor Mobile Communications AS (2:06-cv/3157)

Dear Judge Lynch:

I write on behalf of Altimo and Alpren in the above-titled case. Following up on my conversations with Your Honor's law clerk, Mr. Kaplan, I write to request that the Court vacate its December 15, 2006 Opinion and Order. Such action would be consistent with the December 27, 2007 Order of the Court of Appeals and the expressed understanding at the December 10, 2007 conference between the parties that there were two orders that should be vacated. (Tr. 6, 8.)

The Court's Order of yesterday only vacated the December 18 Order and not the December 15 Order. At the conclusion of the Court's December 15 Opinion and Order, the Court stated: "Storm, Altimo and Alpren are enjoined from bringing or attempting to cause the enforcement of any legal action in the Ukraine that would disrupt, delay or hinder in any way the arbitration proceedings between Telenor and Storm in New York." There followed: SO ORDERED. Accordingly, Altimo and Alpren appealed from each of the December 15 and December 18 Orders. The appeals were consolidated. The parties' Dismissal Agreement and Order Dismissing Appeal submitted to the Second Circuit referred repeatedly both to the December 15 Opinion and Order and the December 18 Order. Two stamped copies of the Dismissal Agreement and Order Dismissing Appeal were sent to the parties, reflecting action in each of the consolidated cases (because it would not otherwise be apparent that two mailings were sent I enclose the original of the Court of Appeals' envelopes).

Our concern is that, contrary to the intent of the parties, the Court and the Court of Appeals, the December 15 injunction remains in place and the appeal from that Order has now been dismissed. Therefore, we respectfully request that the Court vacate its December 15 Opinion and Order.

Respectfully,

Hon. Gerard E. Lynch United States District Court Southern District of New York United States Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

BY HAND

Encls.

Copies w/encls. to:

Robert L. Sills, Esq. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 666 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10103

BY EMAIL AND MAIL

No injunction is in effect. All orders dieting Altino and Alpren to do a not do aunthing have been vacated, and the underlying action has been dismissed as most. No further action is required.